Geoscience Meeting 2016

Use of Isotope data to quantify the interaction between the river Rhine and the groundwater at Oberriet, CH

Christian Gmünder¹, Simon Nusch¹, Stephanie Zimmermann²

¹Simultec AG, Zürich ²BAFU, Abteilung Hydrologie, Sektion Hydrogeologische Grundlagen, Ittigen





Situation



Balanggen well

- 400 m from the River Rhine
- Groundwater flow is directed from the Rhine to the well
- A clear annual cycle of EC and ¹⁸O can be measured at the well and the river.

But

- Infiltration is strongly dependent on river discharge
- An undercurrent of the riverbed is suspected

\rightarrow Complex situation – can't be treated by a simple time series analysis



Task Analysis

Needs

- Numerical model needed
- Model must be transient
- \rightarrow Local model embedded in the existing regional model

Unknown Parameters

- Leakage through the riverbed
- Aquifer permeability
- Effective porosity
- EC: mineralisation speed



Discharge dependent Cauchy boundary



Model boundaries and boundary conditions



Candidate observations

EC

- Cheap measurements
- river and background GW concentration differ
- Adapts to background value
- Background values 600 μS/cm

¹⁸O

- Expensive measurements
- river and background GW concentration differ
- No change during gravel passage







Boundary conditions

- All inflows to the model get a zero concentration
- Inflows from the river Rhine get a concentration calculated as the difference between background and measured concentration
- Mineralization of EC is considered by an exponential degradation of concentration

Transport model

- Dispersion considered
- Needs a fine element discretisation to avoid numerical dispersion

Mass balance along flow paths

- Flow paths in continuous velocity field ^[1,3]
- Faster and more stable
- Statements about flow times possible



Transport model screenshot



Calibration by groundwater level measurements⁷

- Aquifer permeability can be calibrated
- Level fluctuations are not sensitive to leakage values at project site
- Effective porosity can't be calibrated (not fillable/drainable porosity)



Geoscience Meeting 2016



Calibration by ¹⁸O signal

- Effective porosity can be calibrated by modelling the time lag between signal in the river and signal in the well
- Leakage value can be calibrated by simulating the absolute values





Results: Rhine Water Fraction

- Rhine River fraction depends strongly on Rhine discharge
- Fraction values between 0% and 50%





Results: Flow Times from Rhine to Well

- Flow times from Rhine to well depend on Rhine discharge
- Values along different flow paths vary between 70 and 360 days



Added value: EC mineralization speed

- Mineralization speed can be estimated by a sensitivity analysis
- Half time values are in the range of 100 to 200 days



Geoscience Meeting 2016



- Knowledge on the interaction between river Rhine and groundwater was gained by building up a transient groundwater model
- The use of oxygen isotopes helped us to calibrate the leakage value of the river
- ¹⁸O Measuring frequency should be higher than four times per year
- As a byproduct, we were able to estimate the EC mineralization speed



- 1. Cordes C. & Kinzelbach W. (1992): Continuous Groundwater Velocity Fields and Path Lines in Linear, Bilinear, and Trilinear Finite Elements Water Resources Research, Vol. 28, No 11.
- 2. EAWAG (2011). Untersuchung der Flusswasserinfiltration mittels Zeitreihenanalyse der NAQUA Daten Oberriet, Kappelen und Brugg, interner Abschlussbericht BAFU.
- 3. Gmünder C. (2008): Modellgestützte Ermittlung der Einzugsbereiche von Grundwasserfassungen, Mitteilungen der Thurgauischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft, Band 63.
- 4. Kralik et al. (2011): Grundwasseralter ausgewählter Grundwasserkörper 2009/2010. Grazer Feld, Jauntal, Leibnitzer Feld, Rheintal, Unteres Salzachtal, Wulkatal. Publikation des Umweltbundesamtes, Perspektiven für Umwelt & Gesellschaft.

